arXiv:1704.03484v1 [math.CO] 11 Apr 2017

The rigidity of the graphs of homology spheres minus one edge

Hailun Zheng
Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195-4350, USA
hailunz@math.washington.edu

April 13, 2017

Abstract

We prove that for any prime homology $(d-1)$-sphere Δ of dimension $d-1 \geq 3$ and any edge $e \in S$, the graph $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid. This confirms a conjecture of Nevo and Novinsky.

1 Introduction

The main object of this paper is the notion of generic rigidity. We now briefly mention a few relevant definitions, defering the rest until later sections. Recall that a *d-embedding* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a map $\psi : V \to \mathbb{R}^d$. This embedding is called *rigid* if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\psi : V \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies $\text{dist}(\phi(u), \psi(u)) < \epsilon$ for every $u \in V$ and $\text{dist}(\psi(u), \psi(v)) = \text{dist}(\phi(u), \phi(v))$ for every $\{u, v\} \in E$, then $\text{dist}(\psi(u), \psi(v)) = \text{dist}(\phi(u), \phi(v))$ for every $u, v \in V$. A graph G is called *generically d-rigid* if the set of rigid d-embeddings of G is open and dense in the set of all d-embeddings of G.

The first substantial mathematical result concerning rigidity can be dated back to 1813, when Cauchy proved that any bijection between the vertices of two convex 3-polytopes that induces a combinatorial isomorphism and an isometry of the facets, induces an isometry of the two polytopes. Based on Cauchy's theorem and on later results by Dehn and Alexandrov, in 1975 Gluck [6] gave a complete proof of the fact that the graphs of all simplicial 3-polytopes are generically 3-rigid. Later Whiteley [11] extended this result to the graphs of simplicial d-polytopes for any $d \geq 3$. Many other generalizations have been made since, including, for example, the following theorem proved by Fogelsanger.

Theorem 1.1. [5] *Let $d \geq 3$. The graph of a minimal $(d-1)$-cycle complex is generically d-rigid. In particular, the graphs of all homology $(d-1)$-spheres are generically d-rigid.*

The rigidity theory of frameworks is a very useful tool for tackling the lower bound conjectures. For a $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex Δ, we define $g_2(\Delta) := f_1(\Delta) - df_0(\Delta) + \binom{d+1}{2}$, where f_1 and f_0 are the numbers of edges and vertices of Δ, respectively. By interpreting $g_2(\Delta)$ as the dimension of the left kernel of the rigidity matrix of Δ, Kalai [7] proved that the g_2-number of an arbitrary triangulated manifold Δ of dimension at least three is nonnegative (thus reproving the Lower Bound Theorem due to Barnette [3], [4]). Furthermore, Kalai showed that $g_2(\Delta) = 0$ is attained if and only if Δ is a stacked sphere. Kalai's theorem was then extended to the class of

normal pseudomanifolds by Tay [10], where Theorem 1.1 served as a key ingredient in the proof. We refer to [8] for another application of the rigidity theory to the Balanced Lower Bound Theorem.

It might be tempting to conjecture that the graph of a non-stacked homology sphere Δ minus any edge of Δ is also generically d-rigid. This is not true in general; for example, let Δ be obtained by stacking over a facet of any $(d-1)$-sphere Γ, and let e be any edge not in Γ. In this case the graph of $\Delta - e$ is not generically d-rigid. However, Nevo and Novinsky [9] showed that this statement does hold if, in addition, one requires that Δ is *prime* (i.e., Δ has no missing facets) and $g_2(\Delta) = 1$. They raised the following question.

Problem 1.2. [9, Problem 2.11] *Let $d \geq 4$ and let Δ be a prime homology $(d-1)$-sphere. Is it true that for any edge e in Δ, the graph $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid?*

In this paper we give an affirmative answer to the above problem. The proof is based on the rigidity theory of frameworks. Specifically, we first verify the base cases $d = 4$ and $g_2 = 1$, and then prove the result by inducting on both the dimension and the value of g_2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 after reviewing some preliminaries on simplicial complexes, we introduce the rigidity theory of frameworks and summerize several well-known results in this field. We then prove our main result (Theorem 3.4) in Section 3.

2 Preliminaries

A *simplicial complex* Δ on vertex set $V = V(\Delta)$ is a collection of subsets $\sigma \subseteq V$, called *faces*, that is closed under inclusion, and such that for every $v \in V$, $\{v\} \in \Delta$. The *dimension* of a face σ is $\dim(\sigma) = |\sigma| - 1$, and the *dimension* of Δ is $\dim(\Delta) = \max\{\dim(\sigma) : \sigma \in \Delta\}$. The *facets* of Δ are maximal faces of Δ under inclusion. We say that a simplicial complex Δ is *pure* if all of its facets have the same dimension. A *missing* face of Δ is any subset σ of $V(\Delta)$ such that σ is not a face of Δ but every proper subset of σ is. A pure simplicial complex Δ is *prime* if it does not have any missing facets.

For a simplicial complex Δ, we denote the graph of Δ by $G(\Delta)$. If $G = (V, E)$ is a graph and $U \subseteq V$, then the *restriction* of G to U is the subgraph $G|_U$ whose vertex set is U and whose edge set consists of all of the edges in E that have both endpoints in U. We denote by $C(G)$ the graph of the cone over a graph G, and by $K(V)$ the complete graph on the vertex set V. For brevity of notation, in the following we will use $G + e$ (resp. $G - e$) to denote the graph obtained by adding an edge e to (resp. deleting e from) G.

In this paper we focus on the graphs of a certain class of simplicial complexes. Given an edge $e = \{a, b\}$ of a simplicial complex Δ, the contraction of e to a new vertex v in Δ is the simplicial complex

$$\Delta^{\downarrow e} := \{F \in \Delta : a, b \notin F\} \cup \{F \cup \{v\} : F \cap \{a, b\} = \emptyset \text{ and either } F \cup \{a\} \in \Delta \text{ or } F \cup \{b\} \in \Delta\}.$$

A simplicial complex Δ is a *simplicial sphere* if the geometric realization of Δ, denoted as $||\Delta||$, is homeomorphic to a sphere. Let $\tilde{H}_*(\Gamma, \mathbf{k})$ denote the reduced singular homology of $||\Gamma||$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$. The *link* of a face σ is $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta \sigma := \{\tau - \sigma \in \Delta : \sigma \subseteq \tau \in \Delta\}$, and the *star* of σ is $\mathrm{st}_\Delta \sigma := \{\tau \in \Delta : \sigma \cup \tau \in \Delta\}$. For a pure $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex Δ and a field $\mathbf{k}$, we say that Δ is a homology sphere over $\mathbf{k}$ if $\tilde{H}_*(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta \sigma; \mathbf{k}) \cong \tilde{H}_*(\mathbb{S}^{d-1-|\sigma|}; \mathbf{k})$ for every face $\sigma \in \Delta$, including the empty face. We have the following inclusion relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{boundary complexes of simplicial } d\text{-polytopes} &\subseteq \text{simplicial } (d-1)\text{-spheres} \\ &\subseteq \text{homology } (d-1)\text{-spheres.}\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Steinitz's theorem that when $d = 3$, all three classes above coincide. When $d \geq 4$, all three inclusions are strict.

We are now in a position to review basic definitions of rigidity theory of frameworks. Given a graph G and a d-embedding ϕ of G, we define the matrix $\mathrm{Rig}(G, \phi)$ associated with a graph G as follows: it is an $f_1(G) \times df_0(G)$ matrix with rows labeled by edges of G and columns grouped in blocks of size d, with each block labeled by a vertex of G; the row corresponding to $\{u, v\} \in E$ contains the vector $\phi(u) - \phi(v)$ in the block of columns corresponding to u, the vector $\phi(v) - \phi(u)$ in columns corresponding to v, and zeros everywhere else. It is easy to see that for a generic ϕ the dimensions of the kernel and image of $\mathrm{Rig}(G, \phi)$ are independent of ϕ. Hence we define the *rigidity matrix* of G as $\mathrm{Rig}(G, d) = \mathrm{Rig}(G, \phi)$ for a generic ϕ. It follows from [2] that G is generically d-rigid if and only if $\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{Rig}(G, d)) = df_0(G) - \binom{d+1}{2}$. The following lemmas summerize a few additional results on framework rigidity.

Lemma 2.1 (Cone Lemma, [11])**.** *G is generically $(d-1)$-rigid if and only if $C(G)$ is generically d-rigid.*

Since the star of any face σ in a homology sphere is the join of σ with the link of σ, and since the link of σ is a homology sphere, Theorem 1.1 along with the cone lemma implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $d \geq 4$ and let Δ be a homology $(d-1)$-sphere. Then the graph of $\mathrm{st}_\Delta \sigma$ is generically d-rigid for any face σ with $|\sigma| \leq d-3$.*

Lemma 2.3 (Gluing Lemma, [2])**.** *Let G_1 and G_2 be generically d-rigid graphs such that $G_1 \cap G_2$ has at least d vertices. Then $G_1 \cup G_2$ is also generically d-rigid.*

Lemma 2.4 (Replacement Lemma, [7])**.** *Let G be a graph and U a subset of $V(G)$. If both $G|_U$ and $G \cup K(U)$ are generically d-rigid, then G is generically d-rigid.*

Finally we state a variation of the gluing lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs, and assume that $a, b \in U = V(G_1 \cap G_2)$. Assume further that G_1 and G_2 satisfy the following conditions: 1) the set U contains at least d vertices, including a and b, 2) both G_1 and $G_2 + \{a, b\}$ are generically d-rigid, and 3) $G_1|_U = G_2|_U$. Then $G_1 \cup G_2$ is also generically d-rigid.*

Proof: The second condition implies that $G_1 + \{a, b\}$ is generically d-rigid. Since $G_i + \{a, b\}$ are generically d-rigid for $i = 1, 2$ and their intersection contains at least d vertices, by the gluing lemma, $G := (G_1 \cup G_2) + \{a, b\}$ is generically d-rigid. Note that by condition 3), the restriction of G to $V(G_1)$ is $G_1 + \{a, b\}$. Replacing $G_1 + \{a, b\}$ by the generically d-rigid graph G_1, we obtain the graph $G_1 \cup G_2$, which is also generically d-rigid by the replacement lemma. □

3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section we will prove our main result, Theorem 3.4. We begin with the following lemma that is originally due to Kalai. We give a proof here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $d \geq 4$ and let Δ be a homology $(d-1)$-sphere. If σ is a missing k-face in Δ and $2 \leq k \leq d-2$, then $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid for any edge $e \subseteq \sigma$.*

Proof: Let $\tau = \sigma \backslash e$. The dimension of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta \tau$ is

$$\dim \mathrm{lk}_\Delta \tau = d - 1 - |\tau| = (d-1) - (|\sigma| - 2) \geq d + 1 - (d-1) = 2,$$

so $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta \tau$ is generically $(d - |\tau|)$-rigid. By Corollary 2.2, $\mathrm{st}_\Delta \tau$ is generically d-rigid. Note that $e \notin \mathrm{st}_\Delta \tau$, and the induced subgraph of $G(\Delta)$ on $W = V(\mathrm{st}_\Delta \tau)$ contains a generically d-rigid subgraph $G(\mathrm{st}_\Delta \tau)$. Applying the replacement lemma on W (that is, replacing $G(\Delta)|_W$ with $G(\Delta)|_W - e$), we conclude that the resulting graph $G(\Delta) - e$ is also generically d-rigid. □

The following proposition was mentioned in [9] without a proof.

Proposition 3.2. *Let Δ be a prime homology $(d-1)$-sphere with $g_2(\Delta) = 1$, where $d \geq 4$. Then for any edge $e \in \Delta$, the graph $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid.*

Proof: By Theorem 1.3 in [9], $\partial\Delta = \sigma_1 * \sigma_2$, where σ_1 is the boundary complex of an i-simplex for some $i \geq \frac{d+1}{2}$, and σ_2 is either the boundary complex of a $(d+1-i)$-simplex, or a cycle graph $(c_1, \ldots, c_k)$ when $i = d-2$. If $e \in \sigma_1$, then $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid by Lemma 3.1. Now assume that e contains a vertex v in σ_2. Note that $\sigma_2 \backslash \{v\}$ is either a simplex or a path graph. In the former case, the graph of $\Delta \backslash \{v\}$ is the complete graph on $d+1$ vertices, and hence it is generically d-rigid. In the latter case, since the graph of $\sigma_1 * \{c_i, c_{i+1}\}$ is also the complete graph on $d+1$ vertices, by the gluing lemma, $G(\Delta \backslash \{v\})$ is generically d-rigid. Finally, the graph $G(\Delta) - e$ is obtained by adding to $G(\Delta \backslash \{v\})$ the vertex v and $\deg v - 1 \geq d$ edges containing v. Hence $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let Δ be a prime homology 3-sphere. For any edge $e \in \Delta$, the graph $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically 4-rigid.*

Proof: The proof has a similar flavor to the proof of Proposition 1 in [12]. If e is an edge in a missing 2-face of Δ, then by Lemma 3.1, $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically 4-rigid. Now assume that $e = \{a, b\}$ does not belong to any missing 2-face of Δ. We claim that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta a \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta b$. If $v \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta a \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta b$, then $e = \{a, b\}$, $\{a, v\}$ and $\{b, v\}$ are edges of Δ. Hence, by our assumption, $\{a, b, v\} \in \Delta$, and so $v \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$. Also if $e' = \{c, d\} \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta a \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta b$, then $e' * \partial e \subseteq \Delta$. Since e does not belong to any missing 2-face of Δ, it follows that $c, d \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$. Hence $(e' * \partial e) \cup (e * \partial e') \subseteq \Delta$, which by the primeness of Δ implies that $e * e' \subseteq \Delta$, i.e., $e' \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$. Finally, if $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta a \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta b$ contains a 2-dimensional face τ whose boundary edges are e_1, e_2 and e_3, then the above argument implies that $e_i \cup \{b\} \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta a$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence $\partial(\tau \cup \{b\}) \subseteq \mathrm{lk}_\Delta a$, and so $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta a$ is the boundary complex of a 3-simplex. This contradicts the fact that Δ is prime. We conclude that both $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$ and $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta a \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta b$ are 1-dimensional. Furthermore, $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta a \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta b \subseteq \mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$. However, it is obvious that the reverse inclusion also holds. This proves the claim.

If $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$ is a 3-cycle, then the filled-in triangle τ determined by $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$ is not a face of Δ. Otherwise, by the fact that $\tau \cup (\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e * \{a\})$ and $\tau \cup (\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e * \{b\})$ are subcomplexes of Δ and by the primeness of Δ, we obtain that $\tau \cup \{a\}, \tau \cup \{b\} \in \Delta$. Then since $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta a \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta b$, we conclude that $\tau \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$, contradicting that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$ is 1-dimensional. Hence we are able to construct a new sphere Γ from Δ by replacing $\mathrm{st}_\Delta e$ with the suspension of τ (indeed, Γ and Δ differ in a bistellar flip),

and therefore $G(\Delta) - e = G(\Gamma)$ is generically 4-rigid. Next we assume that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$ has at least 4 vertices. By [9, Proposition 2.3], the edge contraction $\Delta^{\downarrow e}$ of Δ is also a homology sphere. Assume that in a d-embedding ψ of $G(\Delta)$, both a and b are placed at the origin, $V(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_l\}$, $V(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta a) - V(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ and $V(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta b) - V(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$. The rigidity matrix of $G(\Delta) - e$ can be written as a block matrix

$$M := \mathrm{Rig}(G(\Delta) - e, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix},$$

where the columns of B and R correspond to the vertices in $\mathrm{st}_\Delta a \cup \mathrm{st}_\Delta b$, and the rows of R correspond to the edges containing either a or b but not both. For convenience, we write $\mathbf{v_i}$ (resp. $\mathbf{u_i}$, $\mathbf{w_i}$) to represent $\psi(v_i)$ (resp. $\psi(u_i)$ and $\psi(w_i)$). Then

$$R = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} v_1 & \ldots & v_m & u_1 & \ldots & u_\ell & w_1 & \ldots & w_n & a & b & \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v_1} & & & & & & & & & -\mathbf{v_1} & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & \vdots & & \\ & & \mathbf{v_m} & & & & & & & -\mathbf{v_m} & & \\ & & & \mathbf{u_1} & & & & & & -\mathbf{u_1} & & (*_1) \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & \mathbf{u_\ell} & & & & -\mathbf{u_\ell} & & (*_\ell) \\ & & & & & & \mathbf{w_1} & & & & -\mathbf{w_1} & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & & & \vdots & \\ & & & & & & & & \mathbf{w_n} & & -\mathbf{w_n} & \\ & & & \mathbf{u_1} & & & & & & & -\mathbf{u_1} & (**_1) \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & & \mathbf{u_\ell} & & & & & -\mathbf{u_\ell} & (**_\ell) \end{pmatrix} \end{array},$$

where the rest of the entries not indicated above are 0. We apply the following row and column operations to matrix M: first add the last four columns, i.e. columns corresponding to b to the corresponding columns of a, then substract row $(*_i)$ from the row $(**_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. This gives

$$M'(\psi') = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Rig}(G(\Delta^{\downarrow e}), \psi') & * \\ 0 & -\mathbf{u_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & -\mathbf{u_l} \end{pmatrix},$$

where ψ' is the 4-embedding of $G(\Delta^{\downarrow e})$ induced by ψ, where $\psi'(v) = \psi(a) = \psi(b)$ for the new vertex v, and $\psi'(x) = \psi(x)$ for all other vertices $x \neq a, b$. Since $\ell = |V(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e)| \geq 4$, it follows that the last four columns of $M'(\psi')$ are linearly independent. Hence for a generic ψ',

$$\mathrm{rank}(M) = \mathrm{rank}(M'(\psi')) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{Rig}(G(\Delta^{\downarrow e}), 4)) + 4 = (4f_0(\Delta^{\downarrow e}) - 10) + 4 = 4f_0(\Delta) - 10.$$

Since $4f_0(\Delta) - 10$ is the maximal rank that the rigidity matrix of a 4-dimensional framework with $f_0(\Delta)$ vertices can have, and a small generic perturbation of a and b preserves the rank of the rigidity matrix, we conclude that $\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{Rig}(G(\Delta) - e, 4)) = \mathrm{rank}(M) = 4f_0(\Delta) - 10$. Hence $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically 4-rigid. □

In the following we generalize the previous proposition to the case of $d > 4$ by inducting on the dimension and the value of g_2. We fix some notation here. If a homology $(d-1)$-sphere Δ is the connected sum of n prime homology spheres $S_1, \cdots, S_n$, then each S_i is called a *prime factor* of Δ. In particular, Δ is called *stacked* if each S_i is the boundary complex of a d-simplex. For every stacked $(d-1)$-sphere Δ with $d \geq 3$, there exists a unique simplicial d-ball with the same vertex set as Δ and whose boundary complex is Δ; we denote it by $\Delta(1)$. We refer to such a ball as a *stacked ball*.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $d \geq 4$ and let Δ be a prime homology $(d-1)$-sphere with $g_2(\Delta) > 0$. Then for any edge $e \in G(\Delta)$, the graph $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid.*

Proof: The two base cases $g_2(\Delta) = 1, d \geq 4$ and $d = 4, g_2(\Delta) \geq 1$ are proved in Proposition 3.2 and 3.3 respectively. Now we assume that the statement is true for every prime homology (d_0-1)-sphere S with $5 \leq d_0 \leq d$ and $1 \leq g_2(S) < g_2(\Delta)$ and every edge $e \in S$. The result follows from the following two claims. □

Claim 3.5. Under the above assumptions, if, furthermore, $g_2(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u) = 0$ for some vertex $u \in V(\Delta)$, then $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid for any edge $e \in \Delta$.

Proof: Since $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$ is at least 3-dimensional and since $g_2(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u) = 0$, it follows that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$ is a stacked sphere. Also since Δ is prime, the interior faces of the stacked ball $(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u)(1)$ are not faces of Δ (or otherwise such a face together with u will form a missing facet of Δ). Let

$$\Gamma := (\Delta \backslash \{u\}) \cup (\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u)(1).$$

Then Γ is a homology $(d-1)$-sphere but not necessarily prime. (For more details on this and similar operations, see [13].) Also by the primeness of Δ, every missing facet σ of Γ must contain a missing facet of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$. Pick a missing facet τ of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$ and assume that there are k prime factors of Γ that contain τ. We first find two facets of $(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u)(1)$ that contain τ and say they are $\{v_0\} \cup \tau$ and $\{v_k\} \cup \tau$. Now assume that the k prime factors of Γ are $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$, and each of them satisfies $S_i \cap S_{i+1} = \tau \cup \{v_i\}$ for some other vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1} \in \Delta$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Furthermore, $S_j \cap (\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u)(1) = \tau \cup \{v_j\}$ for $j = 0, k$. Let $G_\tau := E \cup (\cup_{i=1}^k G(S_i))$, where E is the set of edges connecting u and the vertices in $\tau \cup \{v_0, v_k\}$. Since an arbitrary edge e of $G(\Delta)$ either contains u or belongs to one of S_i's, it follows that $G(\Delta) - e = \cup(G_\tau - e)$, where the union is taken over all missing facets τ of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$. By the gluing lemma, it suffices to show that $G_\tau - e$ is generically d-rigid for any τ and edge $e \in G_\tau$. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $e \in S_i$ for some i, S_i is not the boundary complex of the d-simplex, and $e \notin S_j$ for any other $j \neq i$. Since $G(S_i)$ is a generically d-rigid subgraph of Γ, it follows that

$$g_2(S_i) \leq g_2(\Gamma) = g_2(\Delta) - f_0(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u) + d < g_2(\Delta).$$

Furthemore, by the inductive hypothesis on g_2, $G(S_i) - e$ is generically d-rigid for any edge $e \in \Delta$. Also since $G(S_i)$ is the induced subgraph of G_τ on $V(S_i)$, by the replacement lemma, $G_\tau - e$ is generically d-rigid.

Case 2: either $e \in S_i$ for some i and S_i is the boundary complex of the d-simplex (in this case the edge $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in S_i$), or $e \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$, or $u \in e$. Hence $e \in G'_\tau := G(\tau * C)$, where C is the cycle graph $(u, v_0, \ldots, v_k)$. By Lemma 3.2, $G'_\tau - e$ is generically d-rigid for any edge e. The graph $G_\tau - e$



(a) The subgraph G_τ: fixing a missing facet τ of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$, there are three corresponding prime factors S_1, S_2, S_3. Here S_2 is the boundary complex of the 3-simplex.

(b) G'_τ, obtained from G_τ by replacing all the blue edges in G_τ with the red edges $\{v_0, v_1\}$ and $\{v_2, v_3\}$.

Figure 1: The corresponding graphs G_τ and G'_τ, given the graph $G = G(\Delta)$ and a missing facet in a vertex link.

can be recovered from $G'_\tau - e$ by replacing each edge $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\}$ with the edges in $S_i \backslash G'_\tau$ whenever S_i is not the boundary complex of the d-simplex. Note that nothing needs to be done when S_i is the boundary complex of a simplex, since S_i is already a subcomplex of G'_τ. (See Figure 1 for an illustration in a lower dimension case.) Repeatedly applying Lemma 2.5 with $\{a, b\} = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\}$, $G_1 = G(S_i) - e$ and $G_2 + \{a, b\} = G'_\tau - e$, we conclude that $G_\tau - e$ is also generically d-rigid. □

Claim 3.6. Under the above assumption, if, furthermore, every vertex link of Δ has $g_2 \geq 1$, then $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid for any edge $e \in \Delta$.

Proof: Assume that there is a vertex $u \in \Delta$ such that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$ is the connected sum of prime factors $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ and $e = \{v, w\} \in S_i$ for some i. If e is an edge in a missing facet of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta u$ (which is also a missing $(d-2)$-face of Δ), then by Lemma 3.1, $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid.

Otherwise, assume first that $g_2(S_i) \neq 0$. Then $G(S_i) - e$ is generically $(d-1)$-rigid by the inductive hypothesis on the dimension. Hence by the gluing lemma and cone lemma, we obtain that $G(\mathrm{st}_\Delta u) - e$ is generically d-rigid. By the replacement lemma, $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid.

Finally, assume that S_i is the boundary complex of a $(d-1)$-simplex, or equivalently, $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta\{u, v, w\}$ is the boundary complex of a $(d-3)$-simplex. If furthermore for any vertex $x \in \mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$, the link of $\{x, v, w\}$ in Δ is the boundary complex of $(d-3)$-simplex, then $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta e$ must be the boundary complex of a $(d-2)$-simplex. Hence $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta v$ is obtained by adding a pyramid over a facet σ of some $(d-2)$-sphere, and w is the apex of the pyramid. Now we construct a new homology $(d-1)$-sphere Δ' as follows: first delete the edge e from Δ, then add the faces σ, $\sigma \cup \{v\}$ and $\sigma \cup \{w\}$ to Δ. It follows that $G(\Delta') = G(\Delta) - e$, which implies that $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid.

Otherwise, there exists a vertex x such that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta\{x, v, w\}$ is not the boundary complex of $(d-3)$-simplex. Then we may show that $G(\Delta) - e$ is generically d-rigid by applying the same argument as above on $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta x$. This proves the claim. □

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by a graduate fellowship from NSF grant DMS-1361423. I thank Isabella Novik for helpful comments and discussions.

References

[1] L. Asimow and B. Roth: The rigidity of graphs I., *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **245**(1978), 279-289.

[2] L. Asimow and B. Roth: The rigidity of graphs II., *Math. Anal. Appl.*, **68**(1979), 171-190.

[3] D. Barnette, A proof of the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes, *Pacific J. Math.*, **46**(1973), 349-354.

[4] D. Barnette, Graph theorems for manifolds, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, **16**(1973), 6272.

[5] A. Fogelsanger: The generic rigidity of minimal cycles, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1988. Thesis (Ph.D.)-Cornell University.

[6] H. Gluck: Almost all simply connected closed surfaces are rigid, in: *Geometric Topology*, Proc. Conf., Park City, Utah, 1974; in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, Berlin, **438**(1975), 225-239.

[7] G. Kalai: Rigidity and the lower bound theorem. I, *Invent. Math.*, **88**(1987), 125-151.

[8] S. Klee and I. Novik: Lower bound theorems and a generalized lower bound conjecture for balanced simplicial complexes, *Mathematika*, **62**(2016), 441-477.

[9] E. Nevo and E. Novinsky: A characterization of simplicial polytopes with $g_2 = 1$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **118**(2011), 387-395.

[10] T.-S. Tay: Lower-bound theorems for pseudomanifolds, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **13**(1995), 203-216.

[11] W. Whiteley: Cones, infinity and 1-story buildings, *Struct. Topology*, **8**(1983), 53-70.

[12] W. Whiteley: Vertex splitting in isostatic frameworks, *Struct. Topology*, **16**(1991), 23-30.

[13] H. Zheng: A characterization of homology manifolds with $g_2 \leq 2$, arXiv:1607.05757.